

THE INFLUENCE OF COMPETENCE, OBJECTIVITY AND AUDIT STRUCTURE ON AUDITOR PERFORMANCE (CASE STUDY AT THE HEAD OFFICE OF THE FINANCIAL AND DEVELOPMENT SUPERVISION AGENCY)

Hurriyaturrohman¹, Indupurnahayu², Muhammad Ramadhan^{3*}

^{1,2,3} University Ibn Khaldun Bogor, Indonesia

ABSTRACT

This study aims to explain the impact of several factors on auditor performance. These factors include: (1) the effect of competence on auditor performance, (2) the effect of objectivity on auditor performance, and (3) the effect of audit structure on auditor performance. The research method used in this study is a quantitative approach. The population of this study consists of auditors who work at the Head Office of the Financial and Development Supervisory Agency. Samples taken as many as 30 respondents using purposive sampling method. The collected data were analyzed using multiple linear regression using IBM SPSS version 25 software. The findings of this study indicate that competence has a positive and significant effect on auditor performance, objectivity also has a positive and significant effect on auditor performance, and audit structure also has a positive and significant effect on auditor performance. significantly to the auditor's performance.

Keywords: Competence, Objectivity, Audit Structure, Performance.

Corresponding author: muhammadhan205@gmail.com

How to cite this article:

History of Article: Received: Feb 2024. Revision: April 2024. Published: May 2024.

Introduction

In recent decades, the accounting profession has a very important social role related to its duties and responsibilities as an auditor. The development of the auditor profession has been widely recognized and is needed by various groups, from the needs of the business world to the government for auditor services. For the government, the implementation of the audit carried out is one of the instruments for monitoring state finances. The current phenomenon shows that the demand for transparency and accountability in the presentation of financial information has become an element that must be considered by the management of an entity which in achieving these demands, auditors must have the basis and principles of professional ethics of the Indonesian Accountants Association (IAI). One of the principles of professional ethics of the Indonesian Accountants Association (IAI) is auditor competence obtained through education and experience. Given the importance of the role of auditors, an auditor is required to be able to act professionally in carrying out his audit responsibilities.

There is an increase in public demand regarding the transparency of public services in the era after the reformation of the government to respond to these demands by committing to implementing the principles of good governance, namely supervision, control and examination.

Legal problems in Indonesia mostly concern corruption, collusion and nepotism (KKN), bribery, abuse of power, and the use of state money for personal gain, which have become public concerns and are considered common (Istiariani, 2018). Practices such as abuse of power, bribery, giving bribes, illegal fees, and giving rewards based on collusion, nepotism, as well as the use of state money for personal gain, have become public concerns and are common in this country (Ruhbaniah and Alamsyah, 2017).

In 2019, there was a significant increase in the number of corruption cases that occurred in the central government. According to the corruption crime statistics (TKP) collected by the Corruption Eradication Commission (KPK) in 2019, there were 52 cases of corruption in the central government. In this column, the performance of audit personnel is assessed based on how they perform their duties, as described by Telty and his colleagues in 2019. To overcome the problem, there is a government agency, namely the Financial and Development Supervision Agency or BPKP, which is the government's intelligence supervisory apparatus that is responsible to the Presideln. The main task of BPKP (Badan Pelngawasan Keuangan dan Pelmbangunan) is to carry out government affairs in the field of state / regional financial supervision and national development.

In line with the guidance on clean government, there is a need for the implementation of the supervisory function and a good intelrnal control system to supervise the government and the management of Nelgara's finances so that the implementation of this activity becomes direct, ollelh therefore through the activities of the government must be in accordance with the policies and plans that have been established as well as to ensure that the objectives are achieved properly (Ellmansyah, 2012).

In the philosophy of the new audit paradigm, the audit role is no longer as a wachtdolg (supervision) but also as an audit patnelr, namely a consultant and catalyst. As a consultant, BPKP auditors have a dual role. First, they function as advisors, assisting policy makers by evaluating ongoing programs or policies, business processes, and sharing information on best practices to add value to the organization. In addition, they provide education and training in the form of technical training and career development training. BPKP central audit is responsible as a quality assurer to guide management in identifying risks that can affect the achievement of organizational goals.

The purpose of quality assurance is to ensure that the programs run produce products or services that meet the needs of the community. Auditotr is also tasked with being a loyal guardian in achieving organizational goals and creating value for the organization. Melrelka must be able to provide guidance to the leadership to achieve good public governance.

In addition, another role of auditors is to serve as witnesses and expert witnesses in court. Many audit reports are used as evidence in criminal proceedings by the police, prosecution, and courts. Audit reports should reflect the collmpeltelnsi of the audit firm because the performance of the audit firm will be tested in court. The audit firm must have knowledge, expertise, experience, and skills in conducting the audit. In addition to these four principles, audit personnel must also have a strong commitment to the organization in which they work. Optimal performance can be achieved when government audit personnel have a good understanding of the collaboration, effectiveness and structure of the audit toward the level of oversight.

Research Method

Object of research

The object of this Pelnellitian is the Office of the Center for Financial and Development Supervision (BPKP).

Types and sources of data

The type of data used in this research is quantitative qualitative data, qualitative data is data that is descriptive or adjective and cannot be used on a numerical scale, so qualitative data will be quantified so that it can be analyzed. Because quantitative data is data in the form of values for the answers given by relspolndeln telrhada pelrrtanya-pelrtanya which telrdapat in kuelsiolnelr. Melnurut Sugiyolnol (2018: 456) melnjellaskan that primelr data is a data source that is directly dipelrollelh ollelh pelngumpul data. In this case, the data is collected by the researcher directly from the first source or location where the researcher is located. In this research, the researcher used the answers obtained from the respondents through the Kellurahan apparatus as primelr data that is relevant to the research topic, the distribution of this quisiolnelr was carried out on May 4, 2023.

Data collection technique

This research uses primary data sources, which refers to the type of data collected directly from the main source either in the form of quantitative or qualitative data, the data collection method used in this research is the survey method. In this survey method, questionnaire is used as a data collection technique.

Population and sample

According to Sugiyono (2018: 130) the population can be explained as a whole group that consists of various objects or subjects with qualities and characteristics that have been determined by the researcher to be investigated, and from which the researcher will make conclusions.

Research variables

This research is measured using the Likert scale, which is a scale used to measure attitudes, opinions, perceptions, self-concept or self-image of people related to social, answers from respondents are qualitative quantified, where the answer is bought score ranging using 5 (five) point Likert scale.

Data analysis method

In data analysis, the accuracy and reliability of the collected data is very important, however, it is important to know that the sources of information are also different. The data analysis process requires intelligent research and collaboration, as well as the use of considerable physical and mental energy. In addition to conducting data analysis, researchers also need to refer to library sources to verify the data used.

Descriptive Statistics of Respondents

To facilitate understanding, data were collected and presented using descriptive analysis, the variables included in this study include communication, activity and audit structure, as well as audit performance. The measurement of the variables used a Likert scale.

Data Quality Test

In a research that uses a questionnaire as an instrument to measure variables, it is necessary to test the quality of the data by testing the validity and reliability. Reliability and validity tests are conducted to evaluate the extent to which the measurement instrument can accurately measure the variables being studied.

Validity test

In conducting the validity test, a significance level of 5% was used. The results of the calculation of the r value are then compared with the table r value, the statement will be considered valid if the calculated r value is greater than or equal to the r value on the specified table.

Reliability Test

According to Ridwan (2010: 125) states that the reliability test of the research instrument uses the Cronbach's alpha formula. Cronbach's alpha is a mathematical formula used to measure the level of reliability of a measure, an instrument can be considered reliable (reliable) if it has a reliability coefficient or alpha of 0.6 or more. According to Sugiyono (2012: 220) also revealed that the instrument is said to be reliable if the reliability coefficient is 0.6.

Classical Assumption Test

In this research, there are classic assumption tests used, namely the normality test, the multicollinearity test and the heteroskedasticity test. By using this classic assumption test, the researcher can ensure that the data used meets the statistical requirements needed so that the analysis carried out can be considered valid.

Data normality test

The normality test is a test that aims to check whether the confounding or residual variable in the regression model has a normal distribution. In this research to test the nullity of the data, the researcher used the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test with a significance level as large as 0.05. In this case, if the significance is greater than 0.05, it can be concluded that the data is null distributed, but if the significance is less than 0.05, it can be concluded that the data is not null distributed.

Multicollinearity Test

To detect the presence of multicollinearity, attention is paid to the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) and tolerance numbers. Tolerance is used to measure the variability of other independent variables that are not explained by other independent variables. So, the lower the tolerance value, the higher the VIF value because $VIF = 1/\text{Tolerance}$.

Heteroscedasticity Test

Model regression is said to be homoskedasticity if the residual variance between observations is constant, while model regression is said to be heteroskedasticity if the residual variance between observations varies. Therefore, a test model is considered good if there is no heteroskedasticity, or in other words a test model that experiences homoskedasticity. The heteroskedasticity test can be done by noticing a special pattern on the plot graph between SRESID (residual) and the predicted value of the dependent or independent variable, namely ZPREID.

Hypothesis Test

In this research, hypothesis testing is used using the determination coefficient test (R^2) and statistical tests. The determination coefficient test (R^2) is carried out to measure the extent to which the variable independent used in the research can explain the variation in the variable dependent. Statistical tests are carried out through partial testing (T test) and simultaneous testing (F test), by conducting the determination coefficient test and this statistical test, the researcher can evaluate the extent of the relationship between the independent variables and the dependent variables, as well as ensuring the significance of each independent variable and the overall model of the relation.

Multiple linear analysis

In this study, multiple linear correlation analysis will be used to test the extent of the influence of corruption, objectivity and audit structure on audit performance in BPKP. The results of the multiple linear regression analysis will provide an understanding of the extent of the influence of these variables on the performance of the village apparatus.

Hypothesis testing is used to test whether there is an influence of the independent variables on the dependent variables in this study. Testing can be done using the T test and the F test.

T Test (Partial)

The partial test (T test) was conducted to test the significance of the influence of the independent variables, namely corruption, objectivity and audit structure on the dependent variable, namely audit performance. The purpose of this test is to determine whether each variable has a significant effect on the variable dependent.

F Test (Simultaneous)

According to Sugiyono (2014: 96) the F test is used to evaluate the effect of the independent variable simultaneously. A relation model can be considered feasible if it has an F significance value (sig f) that is less than or equal to alpha which is usually set at 0.05.

Result

Descriptive analysis

The data analysis presented in this research includes values, Minimum, Maximum, Mean (M) and Standard Deviation (SD). Minimum is the value of the lowest, Maximum is the value of the highest and Mean is the average, while the standard deviation is the root of the variance. Data processing was carried out using the help of the SPSS version 25 data mining program. The data in this study were collected by distributing a questionnaire in May 2023 to the Government Intelligence Auditor who worked at the Central Office of the Financial and Development Supervision Agency. The sample size was 30 respondents.

Based on the data collected in this research, the results of the respondents are as follows:
Descriptive analysis based on Respondent ethnicity

Based on the data of respondent characteristics, it is known that the number of auditors who have female gender is 12 people (40%), while auditors who have male gender is 18 people (60%).

Descriptive analysis based on age. Described based on the data collected from the data shows that the respondents in this study who are <25 years old are 2 (6%), followed by those aged 25-35 years as many as 7 respondents (24%), aged 36-45 years as many as 14 respondents (46%) and aged >45 years as many as 7 respondents (24%).

Descriptive analysis based on education. Described based on the data collected from the data, it shows that there are no (0%) respondents in this research with D3 education level, 15 respondents with S1 education level (50%), 15 respondents with S2 education level (50%) and no S3 (0%).

Descriptive analysis based on functional position. Described based on the data collected from the data, it shows that the participants in the research who are first-time expert auditors are 8 participants (26%), young expert auditors are 10 participants (33%), 9 junior experts (30%), no master experts (0%), 1 junior expert (4%), 2 advanced experts (7%), and no junior experts (0%).

Descriptive analysis based on length of service. It is explained that based on the data collected from the data, it shows that the respondents in this study consisted of auditors who had a working age of less than 1 year, none (0%), auditors with a working age of 2-5 years were 17 (56%), auditors with a working age of 6-10 years were 9 (30%), and auditors with a working age of more than 10 years were 4 (14%).)

Inferential Analysis

Validity Test

Validity Test Results

All statement items in the instrument were tested for validity. The results of the validity test which are listed in the table show that all items are declared valid because the value of $r_{count} > r_{table}$ (0.25) with a significance level of 5%, this indicates a correlation between each existing statement.

Reliability Test

Reliability Test Results

Based on the results of the research aimed at the value of Cronbach's alpha coefficient 0.918, objectivity 0.879, audit structure 0.799 and internal reliability 0.849 all greater than 0.6 so it can be known that the instrument questions on the variables declared reliable (reliable).

Normality Test

From the results of the Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) value test, it produces a value of 0.083 with a significance value of 0.200 greater than 0.05. This shows that the data is distributed in a non-zero way or the data is declared to be in accordance with the assumption of zero normality.

Multicollinearity Test

Based on the multicollinearity test table, it results in all the variables having a tolerance value above 0.10, namely 0.642 for the objectivity variable 0.599 and the audit structure 0.601. Likewise, the VIF value is smaller than 10, namely 1.558 for the objectivity variable 1.669 and audit structure 1.665. It is concluded that all variables do not have multicollinearity.

Heteroscedasticity Test

Based on the results of the heteroscedasticity test that has been carried out, it can be seen that the points are randomly distributed both above and below the number 0 on the Y-axis. This shows that there is no significant poll or inequality in the variance of the variables between the observations. Therefore, it can be concluded that the relation model used to predict the performance of the apparatus based on the variables, namely competence, objectivity and audit structure, does not experience heteroscedasticity. Thus, this relation can be considered feasible and reliable in predicting the effect on audit performance.

Multiple Linear Regression Test

Model		Coefficients ^a				Collinearity Statistics	
		Unstandardized Coefficients	Standardized Coefficients	t	Sig.	Tolerance	VIF
1	(Constant)	8.696		2.095	.046		
	Kompetensi	.276	.388	3.314	.003	.642	1.558
	Objektivitas	.276	.359	2.962	.006	.599	1.669
	Struktur Audit	.292	.305	2.522	.018	.601	1.665

Sumber: Data processed using SPSS version 25

Based on the table above, the results of the regression equation are $Y = 8.696 + 0.388 X_1 + 0.359 X_2 + 0.305 X_3 + e$

From the equation it can be concluded that:

From the form of this regression equation shows a constant of 8,696 which means that if the variables X1, X2, and X3 are worth one, then the Auditor Performance is 8,696. The coefficient value of X1 is 0.388 if Competence increases by 1 point, Auditor Performance will increase by 0.388 units assuming X2 and X3 are constant. The X2 coefficient value is 0.359 if Objectivity increases by 1 point, Auditor Performance will increase by 0.359 units assuming X1 and X3 are constant. The coefficient value of X3 is 0.305 if the Audit Structure increases by 1 point, the Auditor Performance will increase by 0.305 units assuming X1 and X2 are constant.

The results of multiple regression analysis of the correlation coefficient R counted 0.771 and the determination coefficient Adjusted R Square of 0.745 or means that the variables of Competence, Objectivity, and Audit Structure, have an effect on Auditor Performance by 74.5%. and the remaining 25.5% is influenced by other factors that affect auditor performance.

After the significance test is done with the F test, the F count is 29.241 more than the F table 2.98. With the statistical F test for the Kompetensi, Objektivitas, and Struktur Audit variables, the result is a significance value of 0.000 which means that it is smaller than 0.05, meaning that Auditor Kinerja is influenced by Kompetensi, Objektivitas, and Struktur Audit. It can be concluded that there is a positive effect between the basic variables, namely Kompetensi, Objektivitas, and Struktur Audit on Auditor Performance.

T test

The effect of competency variables on auditor performance (H1)

H1 : Collmpeltelnsi belrpelngngngaruh polsitif dan signifikan Kinelrja Internal Auditor Government at the Head Office of the Agency for Financial and Development Supervision. To test H1, multiple linear regression analysis was performed. The calculation results for H1 are as follows: $Y = 2.095 + 0.388 X1$ The results of the equation show that the X1 coefficient value is 0.388, which means that if Competence (X1) increases by 1 point, Auditor Performance (Y) will increase by 0.388 points. It can be concluded that there is a positive and significant influence between Competence and Auditor Performance. The statistical t test for the Competency variable produces a significance value of 0.003 which means it is smaller than the value of 0.05 so it can be concluded that the Government Intelrnal Auditor Performance variable at the Financial and Development Supervision Agency is influenced by the Colmpeltelnsi variable.

The effect of objectivity variables on auditor performance (H2)

H2 : Objectivity has a positive and significant effect on the Performance of Government Internal Auditors at the Head Office of the Financial and Development Supervisory Agency. To test H2 is done with multiple linear regression analysis. The calculation results for H2 are as follows:
 $Y = 2.095 + 0.359 X2$.

The results of the equation show that the collelfsieln X2 value is 0.359 which means, if Olbyelktifitas (X2) increases by 1 pole, the Auditor Kinelrja (Y) will increase by 0.359 pole. It can be concluded that there is a polsitive and significant effect between Kolmpeltelnsi and Auditor Kinelrja. This means that the higher the Olbyelktifitas, the higher the Auditor Kinelrja.

The statistical t test for the Olbyelktifitas variabel results in a significance value of 0.006 which means that it is smaller than the value of 0.05 so it can be concluded that the variabel Auditor Work Kinelrja Intelrnal Pelmelrintah in the Central Office of the Financial and Development Monitoring Agency is influenced by the Olbyelktifitas variabel.

The effect of audit structure variables on auditor performance (H3)

H2 : Audit structure has a polsitive and significant effect on the performance of the Government Intelrnal Auditor in the Central Office of the Pelngawasan and Keuangan and Pelmbangunan Agency. To test H3, the analysis of multiple line correlation was carried out. The results of the calculation for H3 are as follows:

$$Y = 2.095 + 0.305 X3$$

The results of the equation show that the collelfsieln value of X3 is 0.305 which means, if the Audit Structure (X3) increases by 1 pole then the Audit Performance (Y) will increase by 0.305 pole. It can be concluded that there is a polsitive and significant influence between Audit Structure and Audit Performance. This means that the higher the Audit Structure, the higher the Audit Performance.

The statistical t test for the Audit Structure variable produces a significance value of 0.018 which means that it is smaller than the value of 0.05 so it can be concluded that the variabel of Audit Performance of the Government Intelrnal in the Central Office of the Financial and Development Supervision Agency is influenced by the Audit Structure variable.

F Test

F Test Results

		ANOVA ^a				
Moddell		Sum olf Squares	df	Melan Squarel	F	Sig.
1	Relgrellssi oln	255.685	3	85.228	29.241	.000 ^b
	Relsidual	75.782	26	2.915		
	Toltal	331.467	29			

- a. Dependent Variable: Kinerja Auditor
- b. Predictors: (Constant), Struktur Audit, Kolmpeltensi, Olbyektifitas

Source: Data processed using SPSS version 25

In the table above, it can be seen that the significance value for the effect of Kolmpeltensi (X1) and Olbyektifitas (X2) and Audit Structure (X3) is $0.000 < 0.05$ and $f_{count} 29.241 > f_{table}$ value 2.98, this proves that H_0 is rejected and H_a is accepted. This means that there is an effect of Kolmpeltensi (X1) and Olbyektifitas (X2) and Audit Structure (X3) on Auditor Kinerja (Y) significantly.

Conclusion

Based on the discussion and results of the research entitled "The Effect of Competence, Objectivity, and Audit Structure on Auditor Performance: An Empirical Study at the BPKP Central Office", there are several conclusions as follows:

Competence significantly affects Auditor Performance. The calculation results show that the t test (partial test) with a significance value of $0.003 < 0.05$, so that the alternative hypothesis (H_a) is accepted and the null hypothesis (H_0) is rejected. That is, there is a significant effect between Competence and Auditor Performance partially.

Objectivity has a significant effect on Auditor Performance. The results of the Multiple Regression test calculation show a significance value of $0.006 < 0.05$, which means H_a is accepted and H_0 is rejected. Thus, there is a significant effect between Objectivity and Auditor Performance partially.

Audit structure has no significant effect on audit performance. The results of the Multiple Regression test calculation show a significance value of $0.018 < 0.05$, so H_a is accepted and H_0 is rejected. This indicates a significant effect between the Audit Structure and Auditor Performance partially.

Competence (X1), Objectivity (X2), and Audit Structure (X3) together have a significant effect on Auditor Performance (Y). The results of the Multiple Regression test calculation show a significance value of $0.00 < 0.05$, which indicates that H_a is accepted and H_0 is rejected. Therefore, overall, there is a significant influence between Competence (X1), Objectivity (X2), and Audit Structure (X3) on Auditor Performance (Y) in multiple regression models.

Thus, the results of this study conclude that Competence, Objectivity, and Audit Structure have a positive and significant effect on Auditor Performance partially and jointly.

Reference

- A.A Anwar, P. M. (2016). *Manajemen Sumber Daya Manusia Perusahaan*. Bandung: PT. Remaja Rosdakarya.
- AIMAN, N. (2017). *Pengaruh Struktur Audit, Independensi Auditor Dan Profesionalisme Auditor Terhadap Kinerja Auditor Pemerintah (Studi pada Badan Penyelenggara Kelayangan Republik Indonesia Perwakilan Provinsi Jawa Barat)* (Dissertation, Fakultas Ekonomi dan Bisnis Unpas).
- BPKP. (2005). *Pedoman Penyusunan Anggaran Belanja Kinerja*. Jakarta: Delphi IV BPKP.
- Firmansyah, M. (2014). *Analisis Faktor-Faktor yang Mempengaruhi Kualitas Hasil Audit Di Lingkungan Pemerintah Daerah (Studi Empiris Terhadap Pemerintah Kota dan Kabupaten Madiun, Magelang, Polonggawe, dan Ngawi)* (Dissertation, Universitas Muhammadiyah Surakarta).
- Gayatri, K., & I D.G, D. (2016). *Pengaruh Struktur Audit, Tekanan Waktu, Disiplin Kerja Dan Komitmen Organisasi Pada Kinerja Auditor*. *El-Jurnal Akuntansi Universitas Udayana*, 15(2).
- Istiani, I. (2018). *Pengaruh Independensi, Profesionalisme, dan Kompetensi Terhadap Kinerja Auditor BPKP (Studi Kasus Pada Auditor BPKP Jateng)*. *Jurnal Islamadina*, Vol. 19 No. 1, 19(1), 63–88.
- Kirana, I. W., & Suprastol, B. (2019). *Pengaruh Independensi Auditor, Pemahaman Golongan Golong dan Self Efficacy Terhadap Kinerja Auditor*. *El-Jurnal Akuntansi*, 27(3).
- Nolvita, H., Sinambella, M., Pangaribuan, M., & Siahaan, L. (2019). *Pengaruh Struktur Audit, Profesionalisme, dan Penetapan Teknologi Informasi Terhadap Kinerja Auditor Pada KAP di Medan*. *Jurnal Aksara Public*, 3(2), 48-57
- Nolvika, V., & Cahyaningsih, S. (2019). *Pengaruh Struktur Audit, Ketidajelasan Peran, dan Konflik Terhadap Kinerja Auditor Internal Pemerintah BPKP di Provinsi Jawa Barat*. *el-Proceeding of Management*, 6(2), 3036-3043.
- Pasca, R. B. (2013). *Pengaruh Integritas, Objektivitas, Keluasan, Kompetensi, dan Komitmen Terhadap Kinerja Auditor Pada BPKP Provinsi Sulawesi Tengah*. *el-Jurnal Katalogis*, 1(1), 124-135.
- Pujawati, G., Nolch, R. M., & Ak, M. (2019). *Pengaruh kompetensi dan independensi auditor terhadap kualitas audit internal*
- Puspatriani, A. D. (2014). *PENGARUH INDEPENDENSI DAN KUALITAS PROFESIONAL CREI TERHADAP KUALITAS AUDIT (Survei pada Kantor Akuntan Publik di Kota Bandung)* (Dissertation, Fakultas Ekonomi Unpas).

- Rahma, M., Bramastol, A., & Helndaryan, D. (2019). Pelngaruh Profelsiolanalismel Auditor, Lolcus olf Colntroll telrhadao Kinelrja Auditor. *Jurnal Akuntansi Audit, dan Sistem Infolrmasi Akuntansi*, 3(1), 27-40.
- Rantika, R., Tiurma, T., & Selptiandr, R. (2019). Pelngaruh Kolmpeltelnsi, Indelpeldelnsi, Dan Kolmitmeln Olganisasi Telrhadao Kinelrja Auditor Pada BPKP Pelrwakilan Sumatra Utara. *Jurnal Akbar Juara*, 4(2), 173-186.
- Ruhbaniah, A., & Alamsyah, A. (2017). Deltelrminasi Kinelrja Auditor Intelrnal Pada Inspelktolrat Sel-Pulau Lolmbolk. *Jurnal Elkolnolmi dan Keuangan*, 1(1), 66-84.
- Ruhbaniah, A., & Alamsyah, A. (2017). Deltelrminasi Kinelrja Auditor Intelrnal Pada Inspelktolrat Sel-Pulau Lolmbolk. *Jurnal Elkolnolmi dan Keuangan*, 1(1), 66-84.
- Selkaran, Una, & Rolgelr, B. (2017). Meltoldel Pelnellitian Untuk Bisnis. Jakarta Sellatan: Salelmba Elmpat.
- SEITIAWAN, I.(2019). PEINGARUH GAYA KEIPEIMIMPINAN DAN KAPABILITAS AUDIT INTEIRN TEIRHADAP KINEIRJA AUDITOIR (Surveli pada Inspelktolrat Kolta Tasikmalaya) (Dolctolral disselrtatioln, Univelrsitas Siliwangi).
- TAHA, H. A. (2018). PEINGARUH KOIMPEITEINSI DAN INTEIGRITAS AUDITOIR TEIRHADAP KUALITAS AUDIT (Survely pada Kantolr Akuntan Publik di Bandung) (Dolctolral disselrtatioln, Fakultas Elkolnolmi dan Bisnis Unpas).
- Yulianti, R., & Hamdiah, C. (2016). Pelngaruh Kolmitmeln Olganisasi, Indelpelndelnsi Telrhadao Kinelrja Auditor BPKP Kolta Banda Acelh. *Jurnal Dinamika Akuntansi dan Bisnis*, 3(1), 57-62.
- Zulfa, El. D., Olktaviani, R., Surnatol, & Wulandari , S. (2019). Pelngaruh Struktur Audit Telrhadao Kinelrja Auditor delngan Kolmitmeln Olganisasi Selbagai Variabell Pelmoldelrasi. *Prosliding SEINDI_U 2019*, 578-583.